

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/777,163 02/13/2004 Gottfried Dichtl A219 1010.1 5098 03/03/2006 **EXAMINER** 26158 7590 WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC **BUSHEY, CHARLES S** ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING 32ND FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

P.O. BOX 7037 ATLANTA, GA 30357-0037

1724 DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/777,163	DICHTL, GOTTFRIED	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Scott Bushey	1724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communicatio ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 At This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 1.	action is non-final.	osecution as to the merits is	3
Dienositi	on of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-37</u> is/are pending in the appear of the above claim(s) <u>3-5,13-16,23,27 and</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,8-12,17-22,24-26,28-30 and 37</u> Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	31-36 is/are withdrawn from con is/are rejected.	sideration.	
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 2-13-04 & 12-2-04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/777,163 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-6, 8-33, and 37, as well as the election without traverse to prosecute Species A, as depicted by Figs. 1, 1A, 2, and 11 of the application in the reply filed on August 29, 2005, and in the telephone interview as detailed in the Interview Summary mailed December 22, 2005, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that non-elected, independent claim 34, as amended, now recites that the tray and at least one superstructure are formed of corrosion resistant materials. This is not found persuasive because the subcombination, as recited by independent claim 1 requires that all of the superstructures are formed of corrosion resistant materials. With respect to the claims that applicant has indicated as reading on the elected species, it is clear that claim 32, which depends from non-elected claim 31 should not be included in the elected group of claims. Accordingly, claims 3-5, 13-16, 23, 27, and 31-36 are withdrawn from further consideration, while claims 1, 2, 6, 8-12, 17-22, 24-26, 28-30, and 37 have been examined herein.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1724

3. Claims 1, 2, 6, 8-12, 17-22, 24-26, 28-30, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Campagnolo et al alone, or in view of any one of Fukuda et al, Kane, Simon et al, and Nakamura et al.

Campagnolo et al (Figs. 1-6; col. 2, lines 10-16; col. 5, lines 12-14, 26-34, 50-68; col. 6, lines 1-17) substantially disclose applicant's invention as recited by instant claims 1, 2, 6, 8-12, 17-22, 24-26, 28-30, and 37, except for the specific recitation that the tray and superstructures are all constructed from corrosion resistant materials. The reference does specifically disclose at least two different types of superstructures (60,62) and a plurality of smaller holes (at 76) equipped with cup and tube means for distributing liquid below the tray in a targeted manner. The reference also discloses (col. 2, lines 10-16) that it is well known within the art to provide surfaces within a column that are subjected to corrosive materials with a corrosion resistant coating, such as glass or Teflon. It should also be noted that the reference teaches a tray (56) with superstructures, but without the additional plurality of smaller holes therein. Clearly, from the entire disclosure of the Campagnolo et al reference, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it to have been obvious at the time of the invention, to provide all of the internal structures within the column that contact corrosive materials, including the superstructures on the trays, with corrosion resistant materials of construction or corrosion resistant coatings. Furthermore, in view of the teaching by the reference that a given tray that includes passages with superstructures associated therewith, may or may not include a further plurality of holes, it would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to either provide a tray without a further plurality of holes or, to

Application/Control Number: 10/777,163

Art Unit: 1724

plug the further plurality of holes that exist in the tray, in the instance that they are not needed, or are not desirable for a given application.

Fukuda et al (col. 2, lines 40-43), Kane (col. 5, lines 31-40), Simon et al (col. 1, lines 5-60; col. 2, lines 25-32), and Nakamura et al (col. 9, lines 3-14) each alternatively disclose that it is well known within the art to provide internal contact surfaces within a column or reaction vessel with corrosion resistant materials of construction or corrosion resistant coatings of the type as recited by applicant's instant claims. In the event that applicant cannot agree that the Campagnolo et al reference alone would render obvious applicant's recitation of corrosion resistant materials or coatings for the trays and superstructures of the instant invention, it would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to modify the teachings of the Campagnolo et al reference, to include any of the well known corrosion resistant materials, as taught by any one of the alternative secondary references, as the chosen corrosion resistant material for either the material of the trays and superstructures, or the coatings thereon, since such would provide an apparatus with an extended useful life, without a great deal of additional initial expense.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is 571 272-1153. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,163

Art Unit: 1724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Bushey Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724 Page 5

csb 2-28-06

7-28-06